

In Vitro Activity of Tigecycline Against Pathogens From France – T.E.S.T. Program 2006

#E-0735

S. Bouchillon¹, R. Badal¹, T. Stevens¹, J. Johnson¹, D. Hoban¹, B. Johnson¹, M. Dowzicky²

¹International Health Management Associates, Schaumburg, IL, USA

²Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Collegeville, PA, USA

IHMA, Inc.
2122 Palmer Dr.
Schaumburg, IL 60173
Tel: (847) 303-5003
Fax: (847) 303-5601
www.ihmainc.com

REVISED ABSTRACT

Background: Tigecycline (TIG), a new glycolcycline, has been shown to have potent broad spectrum activity against most commonly encountered species responsible for community and hospital acquired infections. The T.E.S.T. program determined the in vitro activity of TIG and 10 comparators against gram positive/negative species. Isolates were collected from 2004 to 2006. **Methods:** A total of 1,252 clinically significant isolates from France were analyzed in this survey. The isolates were identified to the species level at five participating sites and confirmed by the central laboratory. MICs were determined by each site using supplied broth microdilution panels and interpreted according to CLSI (formerly NCCLS) guidelines. **Results:** Selected pathogens tested against tigecycline are shown in the table below:

Organism (n)	Tigecycline		% inhibited at MIC					%S
	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	≤0.5	1	2	4	8	
<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. (84)	0.12	0.5	94	98.8	100			n/a
<i>E. faecalis</i> (79)	0.12	0.25	100					100
<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> (493)	0.25	1	81.7	91.1	95.9	99.6	100	95.9
ESBLs (8)	0.25	4	87.5	87.5	87.5	100		87.5
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (120)	8	>16		0.8	12.5	52.5		n/a
<i>S. aureus</i> (MR)(43)	0.12	0.25	100					100
<i>S. aureus</i> (MS)(90)	0.12	0.12	100					100
<i>S. pneumoniae</i> (69)	0.06	0.25	100					n/a
<i>H. influenzae</i> (78)	0.12	0.5	93.6	94.9	100			n/a

Breakpoints defined by FDA Tygacil[®] Package Insert where applicable.

Conclusion: Tigecycline's MIC₉₀ of ≤0.25mcg/ml against gram positive pathogens (including resistant phenotypes) and MIC₉₀ of ≤1mcg/ml against overall *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Acinetobacter* spp. validate the potent inhibitory activity of TIG against French community/hospital pathogens.

INTRODUCTION

Tigecycline (formerly GAR-936) is a member of a new class of antimicrobial agents, the glycolcyclines. This synthetic analogue of the tetracyclines exhibits significant antibacterial activity that is both bacteriostatic and, in certain instances, bactericidal with killing activity that is as much as fourfold better than vancomycin and daptomycin [1, 2]. The development of tigecycline is important in that tigecycline and other glycolcyclines are active against bacterial strains carrying either or both of the two major forms of tetracycline resistance: efflux and ribosomal protection. Certain substituents at the 9-position of the tetracycline molecule restore activity against bacteria harboring genes encoding either or both efflux and ribosomal protection. A single chemical modification of tigecycline overcomes the two molecularly distinct forms of resistance while maintaining activity against susceptible gram-positive, gram-negative, aerobic, and anaerobic bacteria [3]. Furthermore, resistance to tigecycline is difficult to produce even in the laboratory.

Previous studies have demonstrated excellent in vitro activity for tigecycline against clinical and laboratory strains of gram-positive and -negative bacteria with minimum inhibitory concentrations for the 90th percentile inhibited at or below 2 mcg/ml, including difficult to treat methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant *enterococci* (VRE), and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *Enterobacteriaceae* [4-6]. This study was undertaken to document the in vitro activity of tigecycline against significant numbers of clinical pathogens collected in French laboratories. This study is part of the larger ongoing global Tigecycline Evaluation and Surveillance Trials (T.E.S.T.) program.

MATERIALS & METHODS

- All isolates were derived from blood, respiratory tract, urine (no more than 25% of all isolates), skin, wound, body fluids, and other defined sources. Only one isolate per patient was accepted into the study. Clinical isolates were collected and tested from 2004 to 2006 from 5 study centers in France. Isolates were identified to the species level and tested at each site by the participating laboratory.
- Organism collection, transport, confirmation of organism identification, and development and management of a centralized database, were coordinated by Laboratories International for Microbiology Studies (LIMS), a division of International Health Management Associates, Inc. located in Schaumburg, IL, USA.
- All organisms were deemed clinically significant by local participant criteria. Isolate inclusion was independent of medical history, antimicrobial use, age or gender. All sites identified each study isolate utilizing local laboratory criteria.
- Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by the CLSI recommended broth microdilution testing method [7]. Tigecycline was supplied by Wyeth Pharmaceuticals (Collegeville, PA, USA). All other agents were supplied by the panel manufacturer, MicroScan (Dade Behring Inc., Sacramento, CA, USA). The following antimicrobial agents were included on the panels with their dilution ranges (expressed in mcg/ml): amikacin (0.5-64); amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (0.12/0.06-32/16); ampicillin (0.5-32, gram-negative panel, and 0.06-16, gram-positive panel); cefepime (0.5-32); ceftazidime (0.06-64); ceftazidime (8-32); imipenem (0.06-16); linezolid (0.5-8); levofloxacin (0.008-8); minocycline (0.5-16); tigecycline (0.008-16); penicillin (0.06-8); piperacillin/tazobactam (0.06/4-128/4) and vancomycin (0.12-32). MIC interpretive criteria followed published guidelines established by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [8] and the recent US Food and Drug Administration package insert for tigecycline [9], where applicable.
- Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* were screened for ESBL activity when MIC results for ceftazidime were >1 mcg/ml using broth microdilution panels. ESBL activity was confirmed using the CLSI (2005) phenotypic confirmatory disk test (Oxoid, Ogdensburg, NY, USA) on Mueller-Hinton agar (Remel Inc., Lenexa, KS, USA) according to CLSI (2005) guidelines. ESBL presence was confirmed by testing the following antibiotic disks: cefotaxime (30-mcg), cefotaxime/clavulanic acid (30/10-mcg), ceftazidime (30-mcg), and ceftazidime/clavulanic acid (30/10-mcg). Antimicrobial disks were manufactured by Oxoid, Inc. (Ogdensburg, NY, USA). Mueller-Hinton agar used in testing was manufactured by Remel, Inc. (Lenexa, KS, USA). An organism was interpreted as containing an ESBL if there was an increase of >5 mm in the inhibition zone of the combination disk when compared to that of the cephalosporin alone.
- Quality controls (QC) were performed by each testing site on each day of testing using the corresponding ATCC control strains: *E. coli* ATCC 25922; *E. coli* ATCC 35218; *H. influenzae* ATCC 49766; *H. influenzae* ATCC 49247; *S. aureus* ATCC 29213; *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853; *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *S. pneumoniae* ATCC 49619. Results were included in the analysis only when corresponding QC isolates tested within the acceptable range according to CLSI (2005) guidelines [8].

REFERENCES

- Hoellman, D.B., et al., *Antipneumococcal activities of GAR-936 (a new glycolcycline) compared to those of nine other agents against penicillin-susceptible and -resistant pneumococci*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2000, 44(4): p. 1085-8.
- Labthavikul, P., P.J. Petersen, and P.A. Bradford, *In vitro activity of tigecycline against Staphylococcus epidermidis growing in an adherent-cell biofilm model*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2003, 47(12): p. 3867-9.
- Projan, S.J., *Preclinical pharmacology of GAR-936, a novel glycolcycline antibacterial agent*. Pharmacotherapy, 2000, 20(9 Pt 2): p. 219S-223S; discussion 224S-228S.
- Gales, A.C. and R.N. Jones, *Antimicrobial activity and spectrum of the new glycolcycline, GAR-936 tested against 1,203 recent clinical bacterial isolates*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 2000, 36(1): p. 19-36.
- Patel, R., et al., *In vitro activity of GAR-936 against vancomycin-resistant enterococci, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis, 2000, 38(3): p. 177-9.
- Rupp, M.E. and P.D. Foy, *Extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae: considerations for diagnosis, prevention and drug treatment*. Drugs, 2003, 63(4): p. 353-65.
- CLSI, *Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically; Approved Standards—Sixth Edition, in Document M7-A6*. 2005: Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA.
- CLSI, *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, in Document M100-S15*. 2005: Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 940 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA.
- Tygacil, *Product Insert*. 2005: Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Philadelphia, PA, USA.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the investigators, laboratory personnel, and all members of the Tigecycline Evaluation Study Trials program group. This study was sponsored by a grant from Wyeth Pharmaceuticals.

The results are listed in the following tables.

Table 1. In vitro activity of tigecycline and comparative agents against 493 strains of *Enterobacteriaceae*.

Organism Name	Drug	%SUS ^a	%INT	%RES	MIC (mcg/ml)		
					MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	
All <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> (n=493)	Tigecycline	95.9	3.7	0.4	0.25	1	
	Amikacin	98	1	2	8		
	AmoxClav	47.7	6.8	46.2	16	>32	
	Ampicillin	15.4	7.1	7.5	>32	>32	
	Cefepime	91.5	1.4	7.1	>0.5	4	
	Ceftazidime	84	4.1	12	>8	>32	
	Ceftazidime	84.8	6.7	8.7	>0.06	32	
	Imipenem	100	0	0	0.5	1	
	Linezolid	88.2	2.1	11	0.06	8	
	Minocycline	81.1	11.2	7.7	2	8	
PipTazo	84.6	5.9	8.8				
<i>E. coli</i> (n=148)	Tigecycline	100	0	0.12	0.25	1	
	Amikacin	99.3	0.7	0	2	8	
	AmoxClav	78.4	9.5	14.2	4	32	
	Ampicillin	50.7	1.4	48	4	>32	
	Cefepime	93.2	0.7	6.1	>0.5	>0.5	
	Ceftazidime	84.8	2	3.4	>8	>8	
	Ceftazidime	83.2	0.7	6.1	>0.06	0.5	
	Imipenem	100	0	0	0.25	0.5	
	Linezolid	84.5	2.7	9.3	0.03	8	
	Minocycline	88.5	8.8	2.7	1	8	
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (n=94)	Tigecycline	100	0	0	0.12	0.25	
	Amikacin	93.8	5.3	1.4	0.5	2	
	AmoxClav	98.8	2.1	1.1	1	4	
	Ampicillin	78.8	12.8	10.8	2	32	
	Cefepime	90	13.8	86.2	>32	>32	
	Cefepime	92.8	1.1	8.4	>0.5	1	
	Ceftazidime	92.8	1.1	8.4	>8	>8	
	Ceftazidime	91.5	1.1	7.4	>0.06	4	
	Imipenem	100	0	0	0.5	1	
	Linezolid	84.7	2.1	3.9	0.06	1	
<i>K. oxytoca</i> (n=50)	Tigecycline	100	0	0	0.25	0.5	
	Amikacin	98	0	6	2	8	
	AmoxClav	96	4	6	2	8	
	Ampicillin	0	12	88	>32	>32	
	Cefepime	96	4	6	>0.5	1	
	Ceftazidime	96	4	6	>8	>8	
	Ceftazidime	96	4	6	>8	>8	
	Imipenem	100	0	0	0.5	0.5	
	Linezolid	94	4	0.6	2	8	
	Minocycline	94	2	4	1	4	
ESBL-producing <i>E. coli</i> , <i>Klebsiella</i> spp. (n=8)	Tigecycline	87.5	12.5	0	0.25	4	
	Amikacin	87.5	12.5	0	2	>64	
	AmoxClav	37.5	25	37.5	16	32	
	Ampicillin	0	0	100	>32	>32	
	Cefepime	50	25	25	2	32	
	Ceftazidime	75	12.5	12.5	8	>32	
	Ceftazidime	37.5	12.5	62.5	16	>64	
	Imipenem	100	0	0	0.25	0.5	
	Linezolid	87.5	12.5	0	2	8	
	Minocycline	75	12.5	12.5	2	8	
<i>E. aerogenes</i> (n=39)	Tigecycline	84.9	5.1	0	0.12	0.25	
	Amikacin	100	0	0	2	8	
	AmoxClav	2.6	2.6	94.8	>32	>32	
	Ampicillin	0	7.7	92.3	>32	>32	
	Cefepime	84.6	5.1	10.3	>0.5	32	
	Ceftazidime	61.5	10.3	28.2	>8	>32	
	Ceftazidime	78.9	23.1	0	0.25	32	
	Imipenem	100	0	0	0	1	
	Linezolid	79.2	0	20.8	0.06	>8	
	Minocycline	82.1	10.3	7.7	2	8	
<i>E. cloacae</i> (n=105)	Tigecycline	89.5	9.5	1	0.5	4	
	Amikacin	100	0	2	8	32	
	AmoxClav	1.9	0	98.1	>32	>32	
	Ampicillin	0	7.7	92.3	>32	>32	
	Cefepime	84.8	1.9	13.3	>0.5	32	
	Ceftazidime	55.2	8.5	35.2	>8	>32	
	Ceftazidime	59	17.1	23.8	>8	>32	
	Imipenem	100	0	0	0.5	1	
	Linezolid	79.2	3.8	20	0.06	>8	
	Minocycline	70.5	19	10.5	4	16	
<i>S. marcescens</i> (n=41)	Tigecycline	88	2	0	1	2	
	Amikacin	98	2	0	2	8	
	AmoxClav	0	2	98	>32	>32	
	Ampicillin	0	0	100	>32	>32	
	Cefepime	100	0	0	0.5	0.5	
	Ceftazidime	100	0	0	0.5	0.5	
	Ceftazidime	100	0	0	0.5	0.5	
	Imipenem	100	0	0	0.5	2	
	Linezolid	86.1	2	0	6.12	1	
	Minocycline	86.3	11.8	2	2	8	
<i>S. pneumoniae</i> Resistant to Penicillin (n=11)	Tigecycline	na	na	na	0.12	0.25	
	AmoxClav	na	na	na	0.12	0.25	
	Ampicillin	90.9	9.1	0	1	1	
	Ceftriaxone	0	100	0	0.25	0.5	
	Imipenem	0	0	0	0.5	1	
	Linezolid	100	0	0	>0.5	1	
	Levofloxacin	100	0	0	0.5	1	
	Penicillin	49.3	34.8	15.9	0.12	2	
	Vancomycin	100	0	0	0.25	0.5	
	<i>S. pneumoniae</i> Intermediate to Penicillin (n=24)	Tigecycline	na	na	na	0.06	0.25
AmoxClav		95.8	4.2	0	0.5	2	
Ceftriaxone		95.8	4.2	0	0.5	1	
Imipenem		8.3	91.7	0	0.25	0.5	
Linezolid		100	0	0	0.5	1	
Levofloxacin		100	0	0	>0.5	1	
Penicillin		0	100	0	1	1	
Vancomycin		100	0	0	0.25	0.5	
<i>S. pneumoniae</i> Susceptible to Penicillin (n=11)		Tigecycline	na	na	na	0.12	0.25
		AmoxClav	na	na	na	0.12	0.25
	Ampicillin	90.9	9.1	0	1	1	
	Ceftriaxone	0	100	0	0.25	0.5	
	Imipenem	0	0	0	0.5	1	
	Linezolid	100	0	0	>0.5	1	
	Levofloxacin	100	0	0	0.5	1	
	Penicillin	49.3	34.8	15.9	0.12	2	
	Vancomycin	100	0	0	0.25	0.5	
	<i>H. influenzae</i> beta-lactamase Positive (n=20)	Tigecycline	na	na	na	0.12	0.25
AmoxClav		na	na	na	0.12	0.25	
Ampicillin		73.1	13.5	25.6	>0.5	>32	
Cefepime		100	0	0	>0.5	>0.5	
Ceftazidime		100	0	0	>0.06	0.12	
Imipenem		100	0	0	0.5	1	
Linezolid		100	0	0	0.015	0.015	
Levofloxacin		100	0	0	>0.06	>0.06	
PipTazo		100	0	0	0.12	0.25	
<i>H. influenzae</i> beta-lactamase Negative (n=20)		Tigecycline	na	na	na	0.12	0.25
	AmoxClav	na	na	na	0.12	0.25	
	Ampicillin	0	100	>32	>32	>32	
	Cefepime	100	0	0	>0.5	>0.5	
	Ceftazidime	100	0	0	>0.06	0.12	
	Imipenem	100	0	0	0.5	1	
	Linezolid	100	0	0	0.015	0.015	
	Levofloxacin	100	0	0	>0.		